

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO BOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
. 09/830,897	05/02/2001	Poopathy Kathirgamanathan	A34258-PCTUS 5347	
75	590 09/05/2003			
Marta E Delsignore GOODWIN PROCTER LLP 599 LEXINGTON AVENUE			EXAMINER	
			THOMPSON, CAMIE S	
New York, NY	10022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	٠		1774	15
			DATE MAILED: 09/05/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/830,897	KATHIRGAMANATHAN, POOPATHY				
•	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Camie S Thompson	1774				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on th cov r sh t with the c	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 22 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applic	cation. A proper reply to a chiplaces the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	•				
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adviewent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions.	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE e on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the	f the final rejection.  E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  36(a) and the appropriate extension fee fee. The appropriate extension fee under				
37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moleanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nths after the mailing date of the final reje	ction, even if timely filed, may reduce any				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	s Brief must be filed within the p R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal c	eriod set forth in of the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment						
canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or bj ould be rejected is provided belo	)∏ will be entered and an ow or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 6-8, 11-14, 16-21 and 23-27</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
B. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the Moss reference does not suggest or disclose the instant invention. Moss, III teaches a cerium tetrakis-tetramethyl heptandionate compound that can be used in an EL device wherein the phosphor emits blue light. Although the reference discloses cerium IV, it would be expected that cerium III would behave in the same manner since it is the same element. The reference discloses a cerium doped calcium thiogallate. However, he cerium is deposited onto the phosphor using the cerium tetrakis-tetramethyl heptandionate compound which is deposited onto the substrate. .

> CYNTHIA H. KELLY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

> > inthillely